

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING       | DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|------------------------|--------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/801,400             | 0 03/07/2001 |            | Harald Bock          | 112740-191               | 4054                    |  |
| 29177                  | 7590         | 02/23/2006 |                      | EXAM                     | EXAMINER                |  |
| •                      | YD & LLOY    | D, LLC     | SEDIGHIAN, REZA      |                          |                         |  |
| P. O. BOX 1            |              | 25         | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER             |                         |  |
| CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135 |              |            |                      | 2633                     |                         |  |
|                        |              |            |                      | DATE MAII ED: 02/22/2006 | DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   |   |   | 09/801,400  | HARALD BOCK ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   |   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   | M. R. Sedighian   | 2633  |  |  |  |  |
| <br>Period for  | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>Reply  | nication app  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| THE M/ - Extensi- after St - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure - Any rep   | RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F<br>AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN<br>ons of time may be available under the provisions<br>X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communition for reply specified above is less than thirty (3<br>eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum s<br>to reply within the set or extended period for reply<br>ly received by the Office later than three months<br>patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. 30) days, a reply tatutory period w y will, by statute, | i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed<br>s will be considered timely.<br>the mailing date of this communication.<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| _   | Responsive to communication(s) file   | ed on 06 Se   | eptember 2005.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ·   | ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositio  | n of Claims   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 44<br>5)□ C<br>6)⊠ C<br>7)□ C   | <ul> <li>□ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are rejected.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  | o by the Ex   | arimor. Note the attached Office  | 7.00.01.01.01.11.1.0  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul> |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s  | s)  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) D Notice   | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ntion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F   |   | 5) Notice of Informal F   | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Art Unit: 2633

- 1. This communication is responsive to applicant's 9/6/05 amendments and remarks in the application of Bock Haraled filed 3/7/01. The amendments have been entered. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are now pending.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the first filter device" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Srivastava et al. (US Patent No: 6,025,941).

Regarding claim 1, Srivastava teaches a system for suppressing oscillating instabilities in an optical wavelength division multiplex ring network (col. 1, lines 45-65 and fig. 1), comprising: a wavelength demultiplexer filter device (101, 181, fig. 1) inserted in an optical fiber (105, 104, fig. 1) of the ring network (fig. 1) for demultiplexing a wavelength division multiplex

Art Unit: 2633

signal into individual optical signals (col. 6, lines 32-40 and 101, 107, fig. 1 and 107, 601, fig. 6), wherein the wavelength demultiplexer filter device (101, 181, fig. 1) has a low stop-band attenuation only for individual optical signals which are in transmission channels (note that filter 181 has a low stop-band attenuation for individual optical signals which are in transmission channels to further transmit such signals to WGR 107) and further having a high stop-band attenuation for emission emanating outside the transmission channels having a wavelength range that contains instabilities (col. 7, lines 7-17), and a multiplexer filter device (107, fig. 1 and 107, 604, fig. 6) for combining the individual signals into a wavelength division multiplex signal (col. 6, lines 50-52).

Regarding claim 2, Srivastava teaches both the demultiplexer filter device and the multiplexer filter device are incorporated into a single module (col. 6, lines 32-35 and 107, figs. 1, 6) and the output of the demultiplexer filter device being connected to an input of the second multiplexer filter device (the output of demultiplexer 601 is connected to an input of multiplexer 604, as it is shown in fig. 6).

Regarding claim 5, Srivastava teaches the system is provided in a network node (101, fig. 1) of a ring network (the ring network that is comprised of the nodes 101, 102, and 103, fig. 1).

Regarding claim 6, Srivastava teaches the system is provided in a network node (101, fig. 1) of a ring network (the ring network shown in fig. 1) and is designed as an add-drop device (col. 2, lines 37-40).

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Serial Number: 09/801,400 Page 4

Art Unit: 2633

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-2 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (US Patent No: 6,181,849) in view of Srivastava et al. (US Patent No: 6,025,941).

Regarding claim 1, Lin teaches a system for suppressing oscillating instabilities in an optical wavelength division multiplex ring network (col. 1, lines 1-14, 53-64 and fig. 1), comprising: a wavelength demultiplexer filter device inserted in an optical fiber of the ring network (col. 1, lines 55-64 and fig. 1) for demultiplexing a wavelength division multiplex signal into individual optical signals (col. 1, lines 38-41), wherein the filter device has a low stop-band attenuation only for individual optical signals which are in transmission channels, and further having a high stop-band attenuation outside the transmission channels (col. 1, lines 40-45), and a multiplexer filter device for combining the individual signals into a wavelength division multiplex signal (col. 1, lines 44-46). Lin differs from the claimed invention in that Lin does not specifically disclose the filter device has a high stop-band attenuation for emission emanating outside the transmission channels having a wavelength range that contains instabilities. However, It is well known in optical transmission systems optical components such as optical amplifiers causes instabilities. Specification of present application in the description of the prior art section, discloses optical amplifiers lead to instabilities, and such instabilities can be avoided by the use filters (Spec, Page 1, lines 15-20). Srivastava discloses a WDM optical add/drop ring network (fig. 1) with transmission channels having wavelength ranges that contains instabilities (col. 7, lines 15-17), wherein a filter device (181, fig. 1) can be used as a protection means (col. 7, lines 10-15) to block ASE between channels (col. 7, lines 15-17). As Lin discloses a

Art Unit: 2633

particular passband permits passage of one or more particular wavelengths along a respective optical path, and substantial exclusion of others (col. 1, lines 40-45), therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the WDM transmission system of Lin can reject or suppress unwanted signals such as oscillating instabilities, or can reject and suppress emissions emanating outside transmission channels having instabilities, as disclosed by Srivastava, to improve signal light transmission and to allow light of certain wavelength range to reach destination.

Regarding claim 2, Lin teaches the passage of one or more particular wavelengths (col. 1, lines 41-43, a first filter device) and to combine various wavelengths into one multichannel optical signal on one optical path (col. 1, lines 45-48, a second filter device). Specification of the present application describes (Spec, Page 2, lines 16-17) the first filter device is a wavelength division demultiplexer, and the second filter device is a wavelength division multiplexer. Likewise, Lin teaches (col. 1, lines 43-49) a wavelength division demultiplexer (a first filter device) and a wavelength division multiplexer (a second filter device).

Regarding claim 5, Lin teaches the system can be provided in a network node of a ring network (col. 1, lines 53-64).

Regarding claim 6, Lin teaches the system can be provided in a network node of the ring network and is designed as an add-drop device (col. 1, lines 52, 62-64).

8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (US Patent No: 6,181,849) in view of Srivastava et al. (US Patent No: 6,025,941) and in further view of Strasser et al. (US Patent No: 5,850,302), or Henmi (US Patent No: 6,137,603).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 3, the modified optical transmission system of Lin and Srivastava differs from the claimed invention in that Lin and Srivastava do not disclose a Bulk filter, or an AWG filter. Strasser teaches a Bulk filter (col. 6, lines 52-55). Henmi teaches an AWG adddrop filter (col. 1, lines 26-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate an optical Bulk filter such as the one of Strasser, or an AWG filter such as the one of Henmi, for the particular passband or optical filtering in the add/drop WDM transmission system of Lin modified by Srivastava in order to provide a filter that is easily customized and that is readily adaptable to a wide range of applications and that can reduce crosstalk between adjacent channels.

9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srivastava et al. (US Patent No: 6,025,941) in view of Strasser et al. (US Patent No: 5,850,302), or Henmi (US Patent No: 6,137,603).

Regarding claim 3, Srivastava differs from the claimed invention in that Srivastava does not disclose a Bulk filter, or an AWG filter. Strasser teaches a Bulk filter (col. 6, lines 52-55). Henmi teaches an AWG add-drop filter (col. 1, lines 26-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate an optical Bulk filter such as the one of Strasser, or an AWG filter such as the one of Henmi, for the filter in the WAD node of Srivastava in order to provide a filter that is easily customized and that is readily adaptable to a wide range of applications and that can reduce crosstalk between adjacent channels.

Art Unit: 2633

10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (US Patent No: 6,181,849) in view of Srivastava et al. (US Patent No: 6,025,941) and in further view of Sugaya et al. (US Patent No: 6,292,289).

Regarding claim 7, the modified optical transmission system of Lin and Srivastava differs from the claimed invention in that Lin and Srivastava do not disclose a wavelength range of  $1.53\mu m$  to  $1.565 \mu m$ . Sugaya teaches an optical WDM transmission system (2, 8, fig. 2), wherein optical signal ranges of  $1.53\mu m$  to  $1.565 \mu m$  can be transmitted (col. 2, lines 44-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate an optical transmission system that generate optical signals in the range of  $1.53\mu m$  to  $1.565 \mu m$  such as the one of Sugaya for the signal light transmission system of Lin modified by Srivastava in order to transmit, add, drop, and multiplex a plurality of channels of different wavelengths.

11. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srivastava et al. (US Patent No: 6,025,941) in view of Sugaya et al. (US Patent No: 6,292,289).

Regarding claim 7, Srivastava differs from the claimed invention in that Srivastava does not disclose a wavelength range of 1.53μm to 1.565 μm. Sugaya teaches an optical WDM transmission system (2, 8, fig. 2), wherein optical signal ranges of 1.53μm to 1.565 μm can be transmitted (col. 2, lines 44-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate an optical transmission system that generate optical signals in the range of 1.53μm to 1.565 μm such as the one of Sugaya for the signal light

Art Unit: 2633

transmission system of Srivastava in order to transmit, add, drop, and multiplex a plurality of channels of different wavelengths.

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. R. Sedighian whose telephone number is (571) 272-3034. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. R. SEDIGHIAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8